# 2024 Seismic Design Competition

## Score Sheet 3: Architecture

School: ___________________________  
Judge: ___________________________

*Note: Judges please check, circle, or highlight the scoring criteria for the most appropriate score in each category. Do not write in the score.*  
*Do not consider quality of member fabrication in architectural judging*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Score (for SLC use ONLY)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Overall Aesthetic Appeal**  
possess iconic and distinctive architecture that stands out in the Seattle skyline; contains valid structural concepts, expresses function in a visually intriguing way | □ Poor aesthetic appeal | □ Good aesthetic appeal | □ Very good aesthetic appeal | □ Excellent aesthetic appeal | /4 |
| **Conformation with Clients’ Requirements**  
The building’s footprint includes a narrow area at the base, with the area widening at specific levels above the ground | □ Partially conforms | □ Conforms | | | /2 |
| **Originality of Design**  
An original design that can surprise, inspire, or delight, while being simply unforgettable | □ Ordinary design | □ Innovative design | | | /2 |
| **Plan Layout, Effective Emergency Access/Egress, and Energy/Water Consumption Reduction**  
Reasonable plan layout with ADA Accessibility including elevators, stairs, and zoning, and effective emergency access/egress  
Takes into consideration | □ Unreasonable plan layout, ineffective emergency access/egress, no considerations for energy/water consumption | □ Somewhat reasonable plan layout, somewhat effective emergency access/egress and somewhat effective consideration for energy/water consumption | □ Moderately reasonable plan layout, moderately effective emergency access/egress and moderate considerations for energy/water consumption | □ Highly reasonable plan layout, highly effective emergency access/egress and high consideration for energy/water consumption | /4 |
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>a reduction in building energy and water consumption.</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>□ 3D Rendering on Poster</td>
<td>□ Poor aesthetic appeal</td>
<td>□ Good aesthetic appeal</td>
<td>□ Very good aesthetic appeal</td>
<td>□ Excellent aesthetic appeal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Displays building in a realistic, creative, and visually appealing way</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>/4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total: /16

▢ Mention if this team deserves the honorable mention Architecture Award (please choose one team, will only be used in the case of a tie for first place)

Additional Comments (will be anonymously provided to the team): ________________________________________________________________